

Pure maps are strict monomorphisms

Kristóf Kanalas

Categorical Logic and Higher Categories
2024

[AR94]: Is it true that in λ -accessible categories λ -pure maps are regular monos?

[AR94]: Is it true that in λ -accessible categories λ -pure maps are regular monos?

[AHT96] & [Hu94]: If \mathcal{A} has pushouts or if \mathcal{A} has small products: yes.

[AR94]: Is it true that in λ -accessible categories λ -pure maps are regular monos?

[AHT96] & [Hu94]: If \mathcal{A} has pushouts or if \mathcal{A} has small products: yes.

[AHT96]: In general: no. There is a small ω -acc. cat. with an ω -pure epi (which is not iso).

[AR94]: Is it true that in λ -accessible categories λ -pure maps are regular monos?

[AHT96] & [Hu94]: If \mathcal{A} has pushouts or if \mathcal{A} has small products: yes.

[AHT96]: In general: no. There is a small ω -acc. cat. with an ω -pure epi (which is not iso).

- goal: If $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is λ -acc. then λ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono (=joint equalizer of a set of pairs).

[AR94]: Is it true that in λ -accessible categories λ -pure maps are regular monos?

[AHT96] & [Hu94]: If \mathcal{A} has pushouts or if \mathcal{A} has small products: yes.

[AHT96]: In general: no. There is a small ω -acc. cat. with an ω -pure epi (which is not iso).

- goal: If $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is λ -acc. then λ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono (=joint equalizer of a set of pairs).
- also true: If there is a proper class of strongly compact cardinals, then for any λ -acc. \mathcal{A} there is $\mu \triangleright \lambda$, s.t. μ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono.

[AR94]: Is it true that in λ -accessible categories λ -pure maps are regular monos?

[AHT96] & [Hu94]: If \mathcal{A} has pushouts or if \mathcal{A} has small products: yes.

[AHT96]: In general: no. There is a small ω -acc. cat. with an ω -pure epi (which is not iso).

- goal: If $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is λ -acc. then λ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono (=joint equalizer of a set of pairs).
- also true: If there is a proper class of strongly compact cardinals, then for any λ -acc. \mathcal{A} there is $\mu \triangleright \lambda$, s.t. μ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono.

The proofs are written down in: arxiv.org/abs/2407.13448

Theorem (Lurie)

\mathcal{C} is coherent with fin. disjoint coproducts. Then every $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ regular functor factors uniquely as $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{M} \mathit{Sh}(B) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathbf{Set}$, where M is coherent and B is a Boolean-alg. with the finite union topology.

Theorem (Lurie)

\mathcal{C} is coherent with fin. disjoint coproducts. Then every $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ regular functor factors uniquely as $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{M} \mathit{Sh}(B) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathbf{Set}$, where M is coherent and B is a Boolean-alg. with the finite union topology.

Corollary

\mathcal{C} is coherent with fin. disjoint coproducts. Then every $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ regular functor admits a mono-cartesian map to a product of coherent functors.

Theorem

Assume $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is λ -acc. Then λ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono.

Theorem

Assume $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is λ -acc. Then λ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono.

proof idea:

$$\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Lex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) = \mathbf{Pro}(\mathcal{C})^{op}$$

Theorem

Assume $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is λ -acc. Then λ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono.

proof idea:

$$\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Lex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) = \mathbf{Pro}(\mathcal{C})^{op}$$

- 1 λ -pure maps go to mono-cartesian nat. tr.

Theorem

Assume $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is λ -acc. Then λ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono.

proof idea:

$$\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Lex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) = \mathbf{Pro}(\mathcal{C})^{op}$$

- 1 λ -pure maps go to mono-cartesian nat. tr.
- 2 In $\mathbf{Lex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ mono-cartesian = regular mono.

Theorem

Assume $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is λ -acc. Then λ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono.

proof idea:

$$\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Lex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) = \mathbf{Pro}(\mathcal{C})^{op}$$

- 1 λ -pure maps go to mono-cartesian nat. tr.
- 2 In $\mathbf{Lex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ mono-cartesian = regular mono.
- 3 So now we have an equalizer

$$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} N \rightrightarrows F$$

enough: F has a monomorphism to a product of coherent functors.

Theorem

Assume $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is λ -acc. Then λ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono.

proof idea:

$$\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Lex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) = \mathbf{Pro}(\mathcal{C})^{op}$$

- 1 λ -pure maps go to mono-cartesian nat. tr.
- 2 In $\mathbf{Lex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ mono-cartesian = regular mono.
- 3 So now we have an equalizer

$$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} N \rightrightarrows F$$

enough: F has a monomorphism to a product of coherent functors.

- 4 $lex \leftrightarrow reg$: Pro-completion of a reg. cat. + small object argument

Theorem

Assume $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is λ -acc. Then λ -pure \Rightarrow strict mono.

proof idea:

$$\mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Lex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}) = \mathit{Pro}(\mathcal{C})^{op}$$

- 1 λ -pure maps go to mono-cartesian nat. tr.
- 2 In $\mathbf{Lex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ mono-cartesian = regular mono.
- 3 So now we have an equalizer

$$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} N \rightrightarrows F$$

enough: F has a monomorphism to a product of coherent functors.

- 4 $lex \leftrightarrow reg$: Pro-completion of a reg. cat. + small object argument
- 5 $reg \leftrightarrow \coprod coh$: by Lurie's thm.

References

[AR94] J. Adámek, J. Rosický: Locally presentable and accessible categories

[AHT96] J. Adámek, H. Hu, W. Tholen: On pure morphisms in accessible categories

[Hu94] H. Hu: Some results on weakly locally presentable categories (preprint)

[Lurie] J. Lurie: lecture notes in categorical logic

Pure maps are strict monomorphisms (arxiv.org/abs/2407.13448)